

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/829,068	04/20/2004	Marco Cavaleri	PC 19450C	6785	
28523 PFIZER INC.	28523 7590 05/21/2007 PFIZER INC.			EXAMINER	
PATENT DEPARTMENT, MS8260-1611 EASTERN POINT ROAD GROTON, CT 06340			PESELEV, ELLI		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1623		
				ı.	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,068

Art Unit: 1623

Claims 65-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear how a composition which is lyophilized can have a measurable pH.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/829,068

Art Unit: 1623

Claims 65-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Malabarba et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,750,509).

Malabarba et al disclose a composition comprising dalbavancin and a stabilizer (column 28, lines 9-12) and also disclose said composition in the form of a powder (column 28, line 13). Malabarba et al further disclose the combination of dalbavancin in combination with a sugar, such as lactose (column 27, lines 54-56). The claimed compositions are anticipated by Malabarba et al. In addition, if there are any differences between the claimed composition and the prior art composition, the differences would appear to be minor in nature and the claimed composition, which falls within the scope of the prior art's disclosure, would have been prima facie obvious from the said prior art's disclosure to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made.

Applicant's arguments filed May 1, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant contends that Malabarba et al does not disclose MAG, the pH recited in claim 68 or Bo mole percent recited in claim 70.

Applicant further contends that a lyophilized dalbavancin composition that is not stabilized or pH adjusted had an initial Bo MAG content of 4.5% and an initial Bo content of 83.6% as shown at Table 7 and Figs. 1-2 (composition D) in U.S. Patent No. 7,119,061. These arguments have not been found persuasive. Composition D, as shown in Table 4 of U.S. Patent No. 7,119,061, contains dalbavancin in that is not

Art Unit: 1623

stabilized or pH adjusted. Note that said composition, which is not pH adjusted and pH of 3.01. The pH of 3.01 is within the range of the claimed pH of "about 3 to about 5" (claim 65). Further note that ph of "about 3.5" (claim 68) also reads on pH of 3.01 since the present specification fails to present definition of the term "about". Also, the composition disclosed by Malabarba et al comprises the addition of stabilizer (column 28) and disclose dalbavancin in combination with lactose (column 27). Therefore, the composition D of U.S. Patent No. 7,119,061 is not the same as the prior art composition. With respect to the amounts of MAG and Bo present in the prior art composition, applicant has not presented any evidence showing how the amount of MAG and Bo of the prior art composition differs from the claimed composition. Note that a composition disclosed by Malabarba et al containing lactose and having pH of 3.01 would be expected to have MAG and Bo levels the same or closely related to the MAG and Bo levels of the claimed compositions.

Claims 65-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawai et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,774,104) in combination with Malabarba et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,750,509).

Sawai et al disclose stabilized lyophilized composition comprising a cyclic polypeptide and an effective stabilizer, wherein the composition has pH of 4.5-7.0 (column 9, lines 51-61). Sawai et al also disclose disaccharides as a stabilizers (column 9, lines 9-15). But Sawai et al do not disclose the use of a cyclic polypeptide such as dalbavancin. However, since dalbavancin is a well known cyclic polypeptide as disclosed by Malabarba et al, a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 1623

claimed invention was made would have been motivated to stabilize dalbavancin containing composition in accordance with the method disclosed by Sawai et al in order to provide stable dalbavancin-containing compositions.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elli Peselev whose telephone number is (571) 272-0659. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4.30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia Jiang can be reached on (571) 272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Elli Peselev